ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REOPEN ~ 1

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

1

No. 10 at 2. Rule 60 does not allow the Court to reopen based on the existence of a new claim that is independent from the original claims. *Jones v. Ryan*, 733 F.3d 825, 836 (9th Cir. 2013) ("new claims cannot be asserted under the format of a Rule 60(b) motion, and instead Rule 60(b) is properly applied when there is some problem going to the integrity of the court process on the claims that were previously asserted."). Rather, Plaintiff would have to file a new suit in order to assert the new claims. For this reason, the Court denies Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen Case (ECF No. 10). Plaintiff's Request to Withdraw the Complaint (ECF No. 16) is thus, denied as moot.

## **ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 1. Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen Case (ECF No. 10) is **DENIED**.
- 2. Plaintiff's Request to Withdraw without Prejudice the Complaint (ECF No.16) is **DENIED** as moot.
- 3. The file shall remain **CLOSED**.

The District Court Executive is hereby directed to **enter** this Order and **furnish** copies to the parties.

**DATED** September 27, 2018.



THOMAS O. RICE

Chief United States District Judge